

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/764,735	01/26/2004	Atsụshi Toda	450100-04891 8643	
7	590 10/19/2005	EXAMINER		
William S. Fr		KILIMAN, LESZEK B		
745 Fifth Aven	AWRENCE & HAUG LLI iue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10151			. 1773	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/764,7	735	ATSUSHI TODA				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		leszek b		1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	·ss			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no en nication. days, a reply within the stautory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
<i>'</i> =	·	o)☐ This action is	non-final.		•			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t The oath or declaration is objected to	·	- · ·	•	• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been receiv ele 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Sta	age			
Attachmen	, ,							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/764,735

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ichimura'416.

The applied prior art reference teaches that it is known in the art to make and use layered structures of the photonic crystal. See column 3,lines 1-15, column 4, lines 1-10, summary of the invention column 4, lines 45-67. The Ichimura'416 teaches that photonic crystals have their optical properties determined by the refractive index distribution fixed in space. To obtain three dimensional crystal the layered structure has to be made. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize size of the particles in different layers, since such would improve optical properties of the photonic crystal structure.

The applied reference teaches that particles have uniform size and are regularly arranged within the layer.

Also, it would have been obvious to optimize the particle shape and size to improve optical properties since it is known in the art the such properties influence refractive index.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to include protective films in the layered structure and optimize composition of such laminate to obtain the desired properties.

The amendments and remarks filed by applicants in the last response have been fully considered. Applicants have mainly argued that there is no teachings in the prior art reference that the particle shape, size or composition of laminate may be optimize. In response to the applicants arguments the examiner submits that the teaching of the prior art need not be explicit. In this case the prior art reference clearly teaches that the photonic crystals have their optical properties determined by the refractive index distribution fixed in space. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to optimize the size of particles and distances between such particles to determine the optical properties of the final product. The examiner believes that the rejections are proper and therefor maintained.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to leszek b kiliman whose telephone number is 571-272-1509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 6.30-5.00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lk